

Multisymplectic formulation of fluid dynamics using the inverse map

C. J. Cotter, D. D. Holm and P. E. Hydon

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Multisymplectic systems, partial differential equations, fluid dynamics, conservation laws, potential vorticity We construct multisymplectic formulations of fluid dynamics using the inverse of the Lagrangian path map. This inverse map – the “back-to-labels” map – gives the initial Lagrangian label of the fluid particle that currently occupies each Eulerian position. Explicitly enforcing the condition that the fluid particles carry their labels with the flow in Hamilton’s principle leads to our multisymplectic formulation. We use the multisymplectic one-form to obtain conservation laws for energy, momentum and an infinite set of conservation laws arising from the particle-relabelling symmetry and leading to Kelvin’s circulation theorem. We discuss how multisymplectic numerical integrators naturally arise in this approach.

1 Introduction

Definition 1. *A system of partial differential equations (PDEs) is said to be multisymplectic if it is of the form*

$$K_{ij}^{\alpha}(z)z_{,\alpha}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^i},$$

where each of the two-forms

$$\kappa^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}K_{ij}^{\alpha}(z)dz^i \wedge dz^j$$

is closed. Here $\mathbf{z}$ is an ordered set of dependent variables, total differentiation with respect to each independent variable q^{α} is denoted by the subscript α after a comma, and the Einstein summation convention is used.

The closed two-form κ^{α} is associated with the independent variable q^{α} ; it is analogous to the symplectic two-form for a Hamiltonian ordinary differential equation. Hence there is a symplectic structure associated with each independent variable. In the first of a series of papers, Bridges (1997) pioneered the development of multisymplectic systems, showing that the rich geometric structure that is endowed by the symplectic two-forms can be used to understand the interaction and stability of nonlinear waves. For many important PDEs, the multisymplectic formulation has revealed hidden features that are important in

stability analysis. In order to preserve at least some of these features in numerical simulations, Bridges & Reich (2001) introduced multisymplectic integrators, which generalise the symplectic methods that have been widely used in numerical Hamiltonian dynamics. Hydon (2005) showed that multisymplectic systems of PDEs may be derived from Hamilton's principle whenever the Lagrangian is affine in the first-order derivatives and contains no higher-order derivatives. This can usually be achieved by introducing auxiliary variables to eliminate the derivatives.

The aim of this paper is to provide a unified approach to producing multisymplectic formulations of fluid dynamics, based on the inverse map. Our approach covers all fluid dynamical equations that are written in Euler-Poincaré form (Holm *et al.*, 1998), *i.e.* all equations which arise due to the advection of fluid material. First we use the inverse map to form a canonical Euler-Lagrange equation (following the Clebsch representation given in Holm & Kupershmidt, 1983). Then the Lagrangian is made affine in the space and time derivatives by using constraints that introduce additional variables. Following Hydon (2005), we obtain a one-form quasi-conservation law which, when it is pulled back to the space and time coordinates, gives conservation laws for momentum and energy. We also obtain a two-form conservation law that represents conservation of symplecticity; when this is pulled back to the spatial coordinates, it leads to a conservation law for vorticity. The multisymplectic version of Noether's Theorem yields an infinite space of conservation laws from the particle-relabelling symmetry for fluid dynamics; these conservation laws imply Kelvin's circulation theorem. The conserved momentum that is canonically conjugate to the back-to-labels map plays a key role in the derivation of the conservation laws. The corresponding velocity is the convective velocity, whose geometric properties are discussed in Holm *et al.* (1986).

In this paper we show how the above constructions are made in general, illustrating this with examples. We also discuss how multisymplectic integrators can be constructed using these methods. Sections 2 and 3 review the relations among multisymplectic structures, the Clebsch representation and the momentum map associated with particle relabelling. Section 4 shows how to construct a multisymplectic formulation of the Euler-Poincaré equation for the diffeomorphism group (EPDiff), and derives the corresponding conservation laws, including the infinite set of conservation laws that yield Kelvin's circulation theorem. Section 5 extends this formulation to the Euler-Poincaré equation with advected quantities. This is illustrated by the incompressible Euler equation, showing how the circulation theorem arises in the multisymplectic formulation. Section 6 sketches numerical issues in the multisymplectic framework. Finally, Section 7 summarises and outlines directions for future research.

2 Review of multisymplectic structures

This section reviews the formulation of multisymplectic systems and their conservation laws, following Hydon (2005).

A system of partial differential equation (PDEs) is multisymplectic provided that it can be represented as a variational problem with a Lagrangian that is affine in the first derivatives of the dependent variables:

$$L = L_j^\alpha(z) z_{,\alpha}^j - H(z). \quad (1)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations are then

$$K_{ij}^\alpha(\mathbf{z})z_{,\alpha}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^i}, \quad (2)$$

where the functions

$$K_{ij}^\alpha(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\partial L_j^\alpha}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial L_i^\alpha}{\partial z^j} \quad (3)$$

are coefficients of the multisymplectic structure matrix. We define the (closed) symplectic two-forms

$$\kappa^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} K_{ij}^\alpha(\mathbf{z}) dz^i \wedge dz^j, \quad (4)$$

and obtain the structural conservation law (Bridges, 1997).

$$\kappa_{,\alpha}^\alpha = 0. \quad (5)$$

Hydon showed that the Poincaré Lemma leads to a one-form quasi-conservation law

$$(L_j^\alpha dz^j)_{,\alpha} = d(L_j^\alpha z_{,\alpha}^j - H(\mathbf{z})) = dL, \quad (6)$$

whose exterior derivative is (5).

Every one-parameter Lie group of point symmetries of the multisymplectic system (2) is generated by a differential operator of the form

$$X = Q^i(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} + (Q^i(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{z}))_{,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{,\alpha}^i}. \quad (7)$$

Noether's Theorem implies that if X generates variational symmetries, that is, if

$$XL = B_{,\alpha}^\alpha \quad (8)$$

for some functions B^α , then the interior product of X with the one-form quasi-conservation law yields the conservation law

$$(L_j^\alpha Q^j - B^\alpha)_{,\alpha} = 0. \quad (9)$$

This is the multisymplectic form of Noether's theorem.

Every multisymplectic system is invariant under translations in the independent variables $\mathbf{q}$. For each of these symmetries, Noether's theorem yields a conservation law

$$(L_j^\alpha z_{,\beta}^j - L\delta_\beta^\alpha)_{,\alpha} = 0.$$

Such conservation laws can equally well be obtained by pulling back the quasi-conservation law (6) to the base space of independent variables. Commonly, the independent variables are spatial position $\mathbf{x}$ and time t . Pulling back (6) to these base coordinates yields the energy conservation law from the dt component, and the momentum conservation law from the remaining components. We shall see the form of these conservation laws for fluid dynamics in later sections.

3 The inverse map and Clebsch representation

3.1 Lagrangian fluid dynamics and the inverse map

Lagrangian fluid dynamics provides evolution equations for particles moving with a fluid flow. This is typically done by writing down a flow map Φ from some reference configuration to the fluid domain Ω at each instance in time. As the fluid particles cannot cavitate, superimpose or jump, this map must be a diffeomorphism.

For an n -dimensional fluid flow, the flow map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\mathbf{l}, t)$ specifies the spatial position at time t of the fluid particle that has label $\mathbf{l} = \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$. The *inverse map* Φ^{-1} gives the label of the particle that occupies position $\mathbf{x}$ at time t as the function $\mathbf{l} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The Eulerian velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ gives the velocity of the fluid particle that occupies position $\mathbf{x}$ at time t as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{l}, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{l}, t), t).$$

Each label component $l_k(\mathbf{x}, t)$ satisfies the advection law

$$l_{k,t} + u_i l_{k,i} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Here $\cdot_t$ and $\cdot_i$ denote differentiation with respect to t and x_i respectively. We use Cartesian coordinates and the Euclidean inner product¹, so we shall not generally distinguish between ‘up’ and ‘down’ indices; summation from 1 to n is implied whenever an index is repeated.

3.2 Clebsch representation using the inverse map

A canonical variational principle for fluid dynamics may be formulated by following the standard Clebsch procedure using the inverse map (Seliger & Whitham (1968), Holm & Kupershmidt, 1983). The Clebsch procedure begins with a functional $\ell[\mathbf{u}]$ of the Eulerian fluid velocity $\mathbf{u}$, which is known as the *reduced Lagrangian* in the context of Euler-Poincaré reduction (Holm *et al.*, 1998). One then enforces stationarity of the action $S = \int \ell[\mathbf{u}] dt$ under the constraint that equation (10) is satisfied by using a vector of n Lagrange multipliers, which is denoted as $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. These Lagrange multipliers are the conjugate momenta to $\mathbf{l}$ in the course of the Legendre transformation to the Hamiltonian formulation. One may choose $\ell[\mathbf{u}]$ to be solely the kinetic energy, which depends only on $\mathbf{u}$. More generally, ℓ will also depend on thermodynamic Eulerian variables such as density, whose evolution may also be accommodated by introducing constraints. These constraints are often called the “Lin constraints” (Serrin, 1959). This idea was also used in reformulating London’s variational principle for superfluids (Lin, 1963).

Definition 2 (Clebsch variational principle using inverse map). *The Clebsch variational principle using the inverse map is*

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \ell[\mathbf{u}] + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot (\mathbf{l}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{l}) dV(\mathbf{x}) dt = 0,$$

¹This is only done for clarity and the equations are easily extended to the case when the domain Ω is a curved manifold.

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are Lagrange multipliers which enforce the constraint that particle labels $\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are advected by the flow.

Taking the indicated variations leads to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \mathbf{u} : \quad & \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} + (\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} = 0, \\ \delta \boldsymbol{\pi} : \quad & \mathbf{l}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} = 0, \\ \delta \mathbf{l} : \quad & \boldsymbol{\pi}_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \boldsymbol{\pi}) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where

$$((\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})_i := \pi_k l_{k,i}, \quad (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \boldsymbol{\pi}))_k := (u_j \pi_k)_{,j},\tag{12}$$

and the variational derivative $\delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{u}$ is defined by

$$\ell[\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \mathbf{u}'] = \ell[\mathbf{u}] + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}' \, dV(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Remark 3 (Clebsch representation). *In the language of fluid mechanics, the expression (11) for the spatial momentum $\mathbf{m} = \delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{u}$ in terms of canonically conjugate variables $(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ is an example of a “Clebsch representation,” which expresses the solution of the EPDiff equations (see below) in terms of canonical variables that evolve by standard canonical Hamilton equations. This has been known in the case of fluid mechanics for more than 100 years. For modern discussions of the Clebsch representation for ideal fluids, see, for example, Holm & Kupersmidt (1983) and Marsden & Weinstein (1983). In the language of geometric mechanics, the Clebsch representation is a momentum map.*

3.3 Particle relabelling

As the physics of fluids should be independent of the labelling of particles, one may relabel the particles (by a diffeomorphism of the flow domain) without changing the dynamics. This is called the *particle relabelling symmetry*; Noether’s theorem applied to this symmetry leads to the Kelvin circulation theorem. See Holm *et al.* (1998) for a modern description.

3.4 Clebsch momentum map

Definition 4. A **momentum map** is a map $\mathbf{J} : T^*Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ from the cotangent bundle T^*Q of the configuration manifold Q to the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a Lie group G that acts on Q . The momentum map is defined by the formula,

$$\mathbf{J}(\nu_q) \cdot \xi = \langle \nu_q, \xi_Q(q) \rangle,\tag{13}$$

where $\nu_q \in T_q^*Q$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this formula ξ_Q is the infinitesimal generator of the action of G on Q associated with the Lie algebra element ξ , and $\langle \nu_q, \xi_Q(q) \rangle$ is the natural pairing of an element of T_q^*Q with an element of T_qQ .

Theorem 5. The Clebsch relation (11) defines a momentum map for the right action $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$ of the diffeomorphisms of the domain Ω on the back-to-labels map $\mathbf{l}$.²

²As we discuss later, this right action contrasts with fluid particle relabelling, which arises by the left action of the diffeomorphisms on the inverse map.

Proof. The spatial momentum in equation (11) may be rewritten as a map $\mathbf{J}_\Omega : T^*\Omega \mapsto \mathfrak{X}^*(\Omega)$ from the cotangent bundle of Ω to the dual $\mathfrak{X}^*(\Omega)$ of the vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\mathbf{J}_\Omega : \mathbf{m} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\left((\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}\right) \cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot d\mathbf{l} =: -\pi_k dl_k. \quad (14)$$

That is, $\mathbf{J}_\Omega$ maps the space of labels and their conjugate momenta $(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \in T^*\Omega$ to the space of one-form densities $\mathbf{m} \in \mathfrak{X}^*(\Omega)$ on Ω . The map (14) may be associated with the *right action* $\mathbf{l} \cdot \eta$ of smooth invertible maps (diffeomorphisms) η of the back-to-labels maps $\mathbf{l}$ by composition of functions, as follows,

$$\text{Diff}(\Omega) : \mathbf{l} \cdot \eta = \mathbf{l} \circ \eta. \quad (15)$$

The infinitesimal generator of this right action is obtained from its definition, as

$$X_\Omega(\mathbf{l}) := \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} (\mathbf{l} \circ \eta(s)) = T\mathbf{l} \circ X, \quad (16)$$

in which the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ is tangent to the curve of diffeomorphisms η_s at the identity $s = 0$. Thus, pairing the map $\mathbf{J}_\Omega$ with the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{J}_\Omega(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi}), X \rangle &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot d\mathbf{l}, X \rangle \\ &= -\int_S \pi_k l_{k,j} X_j(\mathbf{x}) dV(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= -\langle (\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi}), T\mathbf{l} \cdot X \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi}), X_\Omega(\mathbf{l}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T_{\mathbf{l}}^*\Omega \times T_{\mathbf{l}}\Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the L^2 pairing of an element of $T_{\mathbf{l}}^*\Omega$ (a one-form density) with an element of $T_{\mathbf{l}}\Omega$ (a vector field).

Consequently, the Clebsch map (11) satisfies the defining relation (13) to be a momentum map,

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = -\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot d\mathbf{l}, \quad (17)$$

with the L^2 pairing of the one-form density $-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ with the vector field X . $\square$

Remark 6. *Being the cotangent lift of the action of $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$, the momentum map $\mathbf{J}_\Omega$ in (14) is equivariant and Poisson. That is, substituting the canonical Poisson bracket into relation (17) yields the Lie-Poisson bracket on the space of $\mathbf{m}$'s. See, for example, Holm & Kupersmidt (1983) and Marsden & Weinstein (1983) for more explanation, discussion and applications. The momentum map property of the Clebsch representation guarantees that the canonically conjugate variables $(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ may be eliminated in favour of the spatial momentum $\mathbf{m}$. Before its momentum map property was understood, the use of the Clebsch representation to eliminate the canonical variables in favour of Eulerian fluid variables was a tantalising mystery (Seliger & Whitham, 1968).*

Note that the right action of $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$ on the inverse map is not a symmetry. In fact, as we shall see, the right action of $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$ on the inverse map generates the fluid motion itself.

3.5 Elimination theorem

Eliminating the canonically conjugate variables $(\mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ produces an equation of motion for $\mathbf{m} = \delta\ell/\delta\mathbf{u}$, which is constructed in the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 7 (Elimination theorem). *The labels $\mathbf{l}$ and their conjugate momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ may be eliminated from the equations arising from the variational principle (2) to obtain the weak form of the following equation of motion for $\delta\ell/\delta\mathbf{u}$:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}} + \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}} = 0,$$

where

$$\text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \mathbf{m} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{m}) + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$$

is defined by

$$\langle \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{m}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{m}, (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \rangle,$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the L^2 inner-product. This equation of motion for $\delta\ell/\delta\mathbf{u}$ is the Euler-Poincaré equation for the diffeomorphism group (EPDiff) (Holm et al., 1998).

Proof. Take the time-derivative of the inner product of $\delta\ell/\delta\mathbf{u}$ with a time-independent vector field $\mathbf{w}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle &= \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle -(\nabla\mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle -\boldsymbol{\pi}, (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\boldsymbol{\pi}), (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, -(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle -(\nabla\mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which is the (weak form of the) EPDiff equation. $\square$

3.6 Example: EPDiff(H^1)

To give a concrete example, consider EPDiff with $\ell[\mathbf{u}]$ being the H_λ^1 -norm for $\mathbf{u}$. This is the n -dimensional Camassa-Holm (CH) equation (Camassa & Holm, 1993; Holm et al., 1998; Holm & Marsden, 2004), which has applications in computational anatomy (Holm et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2002). This system has the reduced Lagrangian

$$\ell[\mathbf{u}] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \lambda^2 |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2) dV(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (u_i u_i + \lambda^2 u_{i,j} u_{i,j}) dV(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\lambda^1}^2.$$

The EPDiff equation amounts to

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{m} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \mathbf{m} = (1 - \lambda^2 \nabla^2) \mathbf{u}.$$

When $n = 1$, these reduce to the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation,

$$m_t + um_x + 2mu_x = 0, \quad m = u - \lambda^2 u_{xx}.$$

3.7 Advected quantities

To construct more general fluid equations we shall include advected quantities a whose flow-rules are defined by

$$a_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a = 0, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the Lie derivative. Such advected variables typically arise in the potential energy or the thermodynamic internal energy of an ideal fluid. For example, advected scalars s (as in salinity) satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} s + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} s = 0, \quad i.e. \quad s_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) s = 0, \quad (19)$$

and advected densities $\rho \, dV$ satisfy,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \, dV) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} (\rho \, dV) = 0, \quad i.e. \quad \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (20)$$

A more extensive list of different types of advected quantity is given in Holm *et al.* (1998).

We write the reduced Lagrangian ℓ as a functional of the Eulerian fluid variables $\mathbf{u}$ and a , and add further constraints to the action S to account for their advection relations,

$$S = \int \ell[\mathbf{u}, a] \, dt + \int dt \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot (\mathbf{l}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l}) + \phi(a_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a) \, dV(\mathbf{x}). \quad (21)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations, which follow from the stationarity condition $\delta S = 0$, are

$$\delta \mathbf{u} : \quad \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} + (\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \phi \diamond a = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\pi} : \quad \mathbf{l}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{l} : \quad -\boldsymbol{\pi}_t - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \boldsymbol{\pi}) = 0,$$

$$\delta \phi : \quad a_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a = 0,$$

$$\delta a : \quad -\phi_t - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} \phi + \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} = 0, \quad (24)$$

where the diamond operator ($\diamond$) is defined as the dual of the Lie derivative operation $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}$ with respect to the L^2 pairing. Explicitly, under integration by parts,

$$\int_{\Omega} (\phi \diamond a) \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dV(\mathbf{x}) = - \int_{\Omega} (\phi \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a) \, dV(\mathbf{x}). \quad (25)$$

Remark 8. *The map to the spatial momentum in equation (22)*

$$\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} =: \mathbf{m} = -\pi_A \nabla l^A - \phi \diamond a, \quad (26)$$

is again a momentum map, this time for the semidirect-product action of the diffeomorphisms on $\Omega \times V^*$. Again the momentum map property allows the canonical variables to be eliminated in favour of the Eulerian quantities. As a result, eliminating the variables $\mathbf{l}$, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and ϕ leads to the Euler-Poincaré equation with advected quantities a .

Theorem 9 (Elimination theorem with advected quantities). *The labels $\mathbf{l}$, their conjugate momenta $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and the conjugate momentum (ϕ) to the advected quantities (a) may be eliminated from equations (22-24) to obtain the weak form of the Euler-Poincaré equation with advected quantities:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} + \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = a \diamond \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}, \quad a_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a = 0.$$

Proof. Take the time-derivative of the inner product of $\delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{u}$ with a function of $\mathbf{w}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle &= \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle -(\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - \phi \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle -\boldsymbol{\pi}, (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{w}} a \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \boldsymbol{\pi}), (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle -\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} \phi, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{w}} a \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi, -\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{w}} a - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} a \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, -(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{l} \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_{\text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w}} a \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle -(\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - \phi \diamond a, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle = \left\langle -\text{ad}_{\mathbf{u}}^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} + \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a, \mathbf{w} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 10. *These Euler-Poincaré equations with advected quantities cover all conservative fluid equations which describe the advection of material. For a large collection of examples, see Holm et al. (1998).*

3.8 Example: Incompressible Euler equations

As an example, consider the reduced Lagrangian for the incompressible Euler equations

$$\ell[\mathbf{u}, \rho, p] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + p(1 - \rho) \, dV(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the ratio of the local fluid density to the average density over Ω ; this is governed by the continuity equation (20). The pressure p is a Lagrange multiplier that fixes the incompressibility constraint $\rho = 1$. The variational derivatives in this case are

$$\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = \rho \mathbf{u}, \quad \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \rho} = \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - p, \quad \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta p} = 1 - \rho.$$

Consequently, the Euler-Poincaré equations become

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho \mathbf{u})_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \rho \mathbf{u}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \rho(\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \cdot \mathbf{u} &= \rho \nabla \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - p \right), \\ \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) &= 0, \\ \rho &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and rearrangement gives the Euler fluid equations,

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

4 Inverse map multisymplectic formulation for EPDiff(H^1)

As we now have a canonical variational principle for fluid dynamics *via* the inverse map, one may obtain its multisymplectic formulation by extending the phase space so that the Lagrangian is affine in the space and time derivatives. In this section we show how to do this for EPDiff(H^1) as discussed in the previous section.

4.1 Affine Lagrangian for EPDiff(H^1)

After introducing the inverse map constraint, the Lagrangian becomes

$$L = \frac{1}{2} u_i u_i + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} u_{i,j} u_{i,j} + \pi_k (l_{k,t} + u_j l_{k,j}).$$

Any high-order derivatives and nonlinear functions of first-order derivatives must now be removed from the Lagrangian to make it affine. We introduce a tensor variable

$$W_{ij} = u_{i,j};$$

this relationship may be enforced by using Lagrange multipliers. However, it turns out that the multipliers can be eliminated and the Lagrangian becomes

$$L = \frac{1}{2} u_i u_i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} W_{ij} W_{ij} + \lambda^2 W_{ij} u_{i,j} + \pi_k (l_{k,t} + u_j l_{k,j}), \quad (27)$$

which is now affine in the space and time derivatives of $\mathbf{u}$, W , $\mathbf{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

4.2 Multisymplectic structure

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the affine Lagrangian (27) are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta u_i : \quad & u_i - \lambda^2 W_{ij,j} + \pi_k l_{k,i} = 0, \\ \delta l_k : \quad & -\pi_{k,t} - (\pi_k u_j)_{,j} = 0, \\ \delta \pi_k : \quad & l_{k,t} + u_j l_{k,j} = 0, \\ \delta W_{ij} : \quad & -\lambda^2 W_{ij} + \lambda^2 u_{i,j} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

These equations possess the following multisymplectic structure as in equation (2):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_k \partial_i & -\lambda^2 \partial_j \\ -\pi_k \partial_i & 0 & -\partial_t - u_j \partial_j & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_t + u_j \partial_j & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda^2 \partial_j & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ l_k \\ \pi_k \\ W_{ij} \end{pmatrix} = \nabla H,$$

where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, and

$$H = - \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i u_i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} W_{ij} W_{ij} \right) = - \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} |W|^2 \right).$$

4.3 One-form quasi-conservation law

For our multisymplectic formulation of $\text{EPDiff}(H^1)$, the independent variables are

$$q^j = x_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad q^{n+1} = t,$$

and the dependent variables are

$$z^i = u_i, \quad z^{n+k} = l_k, \quad z^{2n+k} = \pi_k, \quad z^{(i+2)n+j} = W_{ij}, \quad (28)$$

where i, j and k range from 1 to n . Comparing (27) with (1) gives the following non-zero components L_j^α :

$$L_i^j = \lambda^2 W_{ij}, \quad L_{n+k}^j = \pi_k u_j, \quad L_{n+k}^{n+1} = \pi_k, \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore the one-form quasi-conservation law amounts to

$$(\pi_k dl_k)_{,t} + (\lambda^2 W_{ij} du_i + \pi_k u_j dl_k)_{,j} = dL. \quad (29)$$

The exterior derivative of this expression yields the structural conservation law

$$(d\pi_k \wedge dl_k)_{,t} + (\lambda^2 dW_{ij} \wedge du_i + u_j d\pi_k \wedge dl_k + \pi_k du_j \wedge dl_k)_{,j} = 0. \quad (30)$$

4.4 Conservation of energy

For $\text{EPDiff}(H^1)$, the dt -component of the pullback of the one-form conservation law (29) gives

$$(\pi_k l_{k,t} - L)_{,t} + (\lambda^2 W_{ij} u_{i,t} + \pi_k u_j l_{k,t})_{,j} = 0.$$

In terms of $\mathbf{u}$ and its derivatives, this amounts to

$$\left(u_i m_i - \frac{1}{2} u_i u_i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} u_{i,j} u_{i,j} \right)_{,t} + (\lambda^2 u_{i,j} u_{i,t} + u_i u_j m_i)_{,j} = 0,$$

where

$$m_i = u_i - \lambda^2 u_{i,kk}.$$

This is the energy conservation law for $\text{EPDiff}(H^1)$.

4.5 Conservation of momentum

Similarly, the conservation law that is associated with translations in the x_i -direction is

$$(\pi_k l_{k,i})_{,t} + (\lambda^2 W_{kj} u_{k,i} + \pi_k u_j l_{k,i} - \delta_{ij} L)_{,j} = 0,$$

which amounts to the momentum conservation law

$$m_{i,t} + \left(\lambda^2 u_{k,i} u_{k,j} - u_j m_i - \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_k u_k + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} u_{k,l} u_{k,l} \right) \right)_{,j}.$$

4.6 Conservation of vorticity

Next, consider the coefficient of each $dx_r \wedge dx_s$ in the pull-back of the structural (two-form) conservation law (30). This is

$$(\pi_{k,r} l_{k,s} - \pi_{k,s} l_{k,r})_{,t} + (\lambda^2 (W_{ij,r} u_{i,s} - W_{ij,s} u_{i,r}) + u_j (\pi_{k,r} l_{k,s} - \pi_{k,s} l_{k,r}) + \pi_k (u_{j,r} l_{k,s} - u_{j,s} l_{k,r}))_{,j} = 0,$$

which amounts to

$$(m_{r,s} - m_{s,r})_{,t} + (\lambda^2 (u_{i,s} u_{i,jr} - u_{i,r} u_{i,js}) + (u_j m_r)_{,s} - (u_j m_s)_{,r})_{,j} = 0.$$

One can regard this as a vorticity conservation law for $\text{EPDiff}(H^1)$; it is a differential consequence of the momentum conservation law.

4.7 Particle relabelling symmetry

As we discussed in Section 3, fluid equations in general, and EPDiff in particular, are invariant under relabelling of particles. In the context of the inverse map variables, relabelling is accomplished by the action of the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{l} \mapsto \eta \circ \mathbf{l} \equiv \eta(\mathbf{l}), \quad \eta \in \text{Diff}(\Omega).$$

The corresponding infinitesimal action of the vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ is then

$$\mathbf{l} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\xi} \circ \mathbf{l} \equiv \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{l}), \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega),$$

and the cotangent lift of this action is

$$(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \mathbf{l}) \mapsto (-\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{l}) \rangle^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{l})).$$

To obtain the symmetry generator (7), we extend the above action to first derivatives as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X = & \xi_k(\mathbf{l}) \frac{\partial}{\partial l_k} + (\xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial l_{k,t}} + (\xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,i} \frac{\partial}{\partial l_{k,i}} \\ & - \pi_k \frac{\partial \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\partial l_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_j} - \left(\pi_k \frac{\partial \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\partial l_j} \right)_{,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_{j,t}} - \left(\pi_k \frac{\partial \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\partial l_j} \right)_{,i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_{j,i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The relabelling symmetries are variational, because

$$XL = \pi_k (\xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,t} + \pi_k u_i (\xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,i} - \pi_k \frac{\partial \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\partial l_j} (l_{j,t} + u_i l_{j,i}) = 0.$$

Noether's theorem then gives the conservation law

$$(\pi_k \xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,t} + (\pi_k u_j \xi_k(\mathbf{l}))_{,j} = 0.$$

A conservation law exists for each element $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ of $\mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$, so particle relabelling generates an infinite space of conservation laws.

4.8 Circulation theorem

To see how the particle relabelling conservation laws relate to conservation of circulation, note that if ρ is any density that satisfies

$$\rho_{,t} + (\rho u_j)_{,j} = 0,$$

then

$$\left(\frac{\pi_k \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\rho} \right)_{,t} + u_j \left(\frac{\pi_k \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\rho} \right)_{,j} = 0.$$

If we pick a loop $C(t)$ which is advected with the flow, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{\pi_k \xi_k(\mathbf{l})}{\rho} d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

For a vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ which is tangent to the loop at time 0, and satisfies $|\boldsymbol{\xi}| = 1$ on the loop, then

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} d\mathbf{x} = (\nabla \mathbf{l}) \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$

for all times t , and one finds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{l})}{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad (31)$$

The momentum formula (11) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{(1 - \lambda^2 \nabla^2) \mathbf{u}}{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

which is the circulation theorem for EPDiff.

5 Inverse map multisymplectic formulation for Euler-Poincaré equation with advected quantities

To extend this method to more general equations with advected quantities is very simple: take the Lagrangian obtained from equation (3) and add variables to represent higher-order derivatives. For the sake of brevity we shall compute one example, the incompressible Euler equations, and briefly discuss the implications for the circulation theorem.

5.1 Multisymplectic form of incompressible Euler equations

We start with the reduced Lagrangian

$$\ell[\mathbf{u}, p, \rho] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \rho u_i u_i + p(1 - \rho) dV(\mathbf{x}),$$

where p is the pressure and ρ is the relative density, and add dynamical constraints to form the Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \rho u_i u_i + p(1 - \rho) + \pi_k (l_{k,t} + u_i l_{k,i}) + \phi(\rho_{,t} + (\rho u_i)_{,i}).$$

This Lagrangian is already affine in the first-order derivatives, so the Euler-Lagrange equations are automatically multisymplectic in these variables:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pi_k \partial_i & 0 & -\rho \partial_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_t - u_i \partial_i & 0 \\ -\pi_k \partial_i & 0 & 0 & -\partial_t - u_i \partial_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_t + u_i \partial_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho \partial_i & \partial_t + u_i \partial_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ \rho \\ l_k \\ \pi_k \\ \phi \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \nabla H,$$

where the quantity

$$H = - \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_i u_i + p(1 - \rho) \right)$$

is negative of the Hamiltonian density.

5.2 Circulation theorem for advected quantities

The conservation law for particle-relabelling follows exactly as in Section 4, and we obtain equation (31) as before. The difference is that now the momentum formula (momentum map) is

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{u}} = -\pi_k \nabla l_k - \phi \diamond a$$

and so one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{C(t)} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a} \diamond a \cdot d\mathbf{x}.$$

For the incompressible Euler equations, a is the relative density ρ , so

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a} \diamond a = \rho \nabla \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \rho},$$

which leads to the circulation theorem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{C(t)} \nabla \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

6 A note on multisymplectic integrators

In this section we discuss briefly how to produce multisymplectic numerical integrators, using the inverse map formulation given in this paper. We note in particular that the multisymplectic method will satisfy a discrete form of the particle-relabelling symmetry and hence we will obtain a method that has discrete conservation laws for $-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{l}$.

6.1 Variational integrators

A multisymplectic integrator for a PDE is a numerical method which preserves a discrete conservation law for the two-form κ given in equation (4) (Bridges & Reich, 2001). As described in (Hydon, 2005), a discrete variational principle

with a Lagrangian that is affine in first-order differences automatically leads to a set of difference equations which are multisymplectic. This now makes it very simple to construct multisymplectic integrators for fluid dynamics using the inverse map formulation: one simply replaces the spatial and time integrals in the action with numerical quadratures, replaces the first-order derivatives by differences, and takes variations following the standard variational integrator approach (Lew *et al.*, 2003). Whilst the method will preserve the discrete conservation law for the two-form κ , the one-form quasi-conservation law will not be preserved in general, and hence the other conservation laws will not be exactly preserved.

6.2 Discrete relabelling symmetry

As π and $\mathbf{l}$ are still continuous in the discretised equations, the multisymplectic integrator will have a discrete particle-relabelling symmetry analogous to the one given in Section 4, with the only difference being the discretisation of the cotangent lift. Following the variational integrator programme described in Lew *et al.* (2003), the discrete form of Noether’s theorem will give rise to discrete conservation laws for the multisymplectic method.

6.3 Remapping labels

If this approach is to be applied to numerical solutions with intense vorticity then one needs to address the problem that eventually the numerical discretisation of the labels $\mathbf{l}$ will become very poor due to tangling, and hence the approximation to the momentum

$$\mathbf{m} = -(\nabla \mathbf{l})^T \pi = -\pi_k \nabla l_k, \quad (32)$$

will degrade with time. One possible approach would be to apply discrete particle-relabelling, mapping the labels back to the Eulerian grid in such a way that the momentum (32) stays fixed. This transformation is exactly the relabelling given in Section 4. Numerically, one could construct a transformation (using a generating function for example) which satisfies

$$\mathbf{l} \mapsto \mathbf{X} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^p, \Delta t^p), \quad \pi(\nabla \mathbf{l}) \mapsto \pi(\nabla \mathbf{l}) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^p, \Delta t^p),$$

where p is the order of the method. For instance, one might use a variational discretisation of the relabelling transformation, which is generated by a symplectic vector field whose Hamiltonian is $\pi \cdot \xi(\mathbf{l}) = \pi_k \xi_k(\mathbf{l})$. In this way, one may still retain some of the conservative properties of the method.

7 Summary and Outlook

7.1 Summary

This paper describes a multisymplectic formulation of Euler-Poincaré equations (which are, in essence, fluid dynamical equations with a particle-relabelling symmetry). We have used the inverse map to obtain a canonical variational principle, following Holm and Kupershmidt (1983). As noted in Hydon (2005), a multisymplectic formulation can be obtained by choosing variables such that the Lagrangian at most linear in the first-order derivatives, and contains no

higher-order derivatives. We have shown how to construct the multisymplectic formulation for the Euler-Poincaré equations for diffeomorphisms, using the example of the $\text{EPDiff}(H^1)$ equations, and how to extend the method to the Euler-Poincaré equations with advected quantities. These equations encompass many fluid systems, including incompressible Euler, shallow-water, Euler-alpha, Green-Naghdi, perfect complex fluids, inviscid magnetohydrodynamics, *etc.*

The techniques of Hydon (2005) have led to conservation laws for these systems, including the usual multisymplectic conservation laws for energy and momentum plus an infinite set of conservation laws which arise from the particle-relabelling symmetry of fluid dynamics. We have highlighted the connection between these latter conservation laws and Kelvin’s circulation theorem, and showed that multisymplectic integrators based on this formulation will have discrete conservation laws associated with this symmetry.

7.2 Outlook

In the last section of this paper we have discussed the possibility of developing multisymplectic integrators for fluids using this framework. It is undoubtedly simple to construct such integrators, but the issue of accuracy with time arises whenever the flow is strongly mixing and numerical errors make the label field $\mathbf{l}$ very noisy. A discretisation of the relabelling map discussed in this paper could provide a way to prevent this problem whilst retaining some of the geometric properties of the method. These ideas may aid the future development of integrators that have conservation laws for vorticity and circulation, which are desirable for numerical weather prediction and other applications.

In a different direction, we believe that multisymplectic integrators would be especially apt for applications of EPDiff to template-matching in computational anatomy (Holm *et al.*, 2004). The matching problem is an initial-final value problem. In such problems, space and time may be treated on an equal footing, just as in the multisymplectic formulation.

7.3 Acknowledgements

The work of DDH was partially supported by the Royal Society of London Wolfson Award and the US Department of Energy Office of Science ASCR.

References

- [1] Bridges, T. J. Multi-symplectic structures and wave propagation. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 121:147–190, 1997.
- [2] Bridges, T. J. & Reich, S. Multi-symplectic integrators: numerical schemes for Hamiltonian PDEs that preserve symplecticity. *Phys. Lett.*, 284A:184–193, 2001.
- [3] Camassa, R. & Holm, D. D. An integrable shallow-water equation with peaked solitons. *Physical Review Letters*, 71:1661–1664, 1993.
- [4] Holm, D. D. & Kupershmidt, B. Poisson brackets and Clebsch representations for magnetohydrodynamics, multifluid plasmas, and elasticity. *Physica D*, 6:347–363, 1983.

- [5] Holm, D. D. & Marsden, J. E. Momentum maps and measure valued solutions (peakons, filaments, and sheets) of the Euler-Poincaré equations for the diffeomorphism group. In J. E. Marsden & T. S. Ratiu, editors, *The Breadth of Symplectic and Poisson Geometry: A Festschrift for Alan Weinstein*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA., 2004, pp. 203–235. <http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.CD/0312048>.
- [6] Holm, D. D., Marsden, J. E. & Ratiu, T. S., The Hamiltonian Structure of Continuum Mechanics in Material, Inverse Material, Spatial and Convective Representations. In *Hamiltonian Structure and Lyapunov Stability for Ideal Continuum Dynamics*, Univ. Montreal Press, 1986, pp. 1–124.
- [7] Holm, D. D., Marsden, J. E., & Ratiu, T. S. The Euler–Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories. *Adv. in Math.*, 137:1–81, 1998. <http://arxiv.org/abs/chao-dyn/9801015>.
- [8] Holm, D. D., Rananather, J. T., Trouné, A., & Younes, L. Soliton dynamics in computational anatomy. *NeuroImage*, 23:170–178, 2004. <http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.SI/0411014>.
- [9] Hydon, P. E. Multisymplectic conservation laws for differential and differential-difference equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, 461:1627–1637, 2005.
- [10] Lew, A., Marsden, J. E., Ortiz, M. & West, M. An overview of variational integrators. In L. P. Franca, T. E. Tezduyar & A. Masud, editors, *Finite Element Methods: 1970s and Beyond*. CIMNE, Barcelona, Spain, 2004, pp. 98–115.
- [11] Lin, C. C. Liquid Helium. In *Proceedings of the Enrico Fermi International School of Physics, Course XXI*, G. Careri, editor, Academic Press, New York, 1963, pp. 93–146.
- [12] Marsden, J. E. & Weinstein, A. Coadjoint orbits, vortices, and Clebsch variables for incompressible fluids. *Physica D*, 7:305–323, 1983.
- [13] Miller, M. I., Trouné, A. & Younes, L. On the metrics and Euler-Lagrange equations of computational anatomy. *Ann. Rev. Biomed. Engrg.*, 4:375–405, 2002.
- [14] Seliger, R. L. & Whitham, G. B. Variational principles in continuum mechanics. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 305: 1–25, 1968.
- [15] Serrin, J. Mathematical principles of classical fluid mechanics. In S. Flügge & C. Truesdell, editors, *Handbuch der Physik VIII/I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959, pp. 125–263.